arXiv:1603.08918v1 [physics.space-ph] 29 Mar 2016

Analysis of the ground level enhancements on 14 July 2000 and on 13 December 2006 using neutron monitor data

A.L. Mishev[1] and I.G. Usoskin[1,2]

[1]ReSoLVE Center of Excellence, University of Oulu, Finland.
[1]Sodankylä Geophysical Observatory (Oulu unit), University of Oulu, Finland.

March 31, 2016

Abstract

On the basis of neutron monitor data we estimate the energy spectrum, anisotropy axis direction and pitch-angle distribution of solar energetic particles during two major ground level enhancements (GLE 59 on 14 July 2000 and GLE 70 on 13 December 2006). For the analysis we use a newly computed neutron monitor yield function. The method consists of several consecutive steps: definition of the asymptotic viewing cones of neutron monitor stations considered for the data analysis by computations of cosmic ray particles propagation in a model magnetosphere with the MAGNETOCOSMICS code; computation of the neutron monitor model responses and derivation of the solar energetic particle characteristics on the basis of inverse problem solution. The pitch-angle distribution and rigidity spectrum of high-energy protons are obtained as function of time in the course of ground level enhancements. A comparison with previously reported results is performed and reasonable agreement is achieved. A discussion of the obtained results is included as well their possible application is included.

Keywords:Solar eruptive events, Neutron Monitor, Yield function solution
For contact: alexander.mishev@oulu.fi; ilya.usoskin@oulu.fi

1 Introduction

A thorough analysis of solar energetic particle (SEP) events provides crucial information on particle scattering and transport in the interplanetary medium as well as understanding of their acceleration mechanisms (Debrunner *et al.*, 1988; Lockwood, Debrunner, and Flükiger, 1990; Kallenrode, Cliver, an 1992; Reames, 1999). Some solar flares and eruptive events, such as coronal mass ejections (CMEs), can accelerate protons and other ions to high energies (Cliver, Kahler, and Reames, 2004; Dorman, 2006; Reames, 2009b,a; Aschwanden, 2012, and references therein). Such SEPs

can enter the Earth atmosphere sporadically, with a greater probability during maximum and declining phase of a solar activity cycle (*e.g.* Shea and Smart, 1990).

High-energy particles, mostly protons and α-particles, of extra-solar origin known as galactic cosmic rays (GCR) constantly hit the Earth atmosphere. They induce a complicated atmospheric nuclear–electromagnetic–muon cascade (see Dorman (2004); Bazilevskaya *et al.* (2008) and references therein). Occasionally the energy of SEPs is large enough ($\geq$ 1 GeV/nucleon) to initiate a similar atmospheric cascade leading to an enhancement of count rate of ground-based detectors, specifically neutron monitors (NMs). This special class of SEP events is called ground-level enhancements (GLEs). The worldwide network of NMs (*e.g.* Mavromichalaki *et al.*, 2011) represents a multi-instrumental tool for continuous monitoring of the intensity of CR particles as well as the registration of GLEs (Simpson, Fonger, and Treiman, 1953; Hatton, 1971).

The NM stations are spread over the globe at multiple geographic locations in order to obtain a complete picture of cosmic rays in space, since their intensity is not uniform around the Earth (Bieber and Evenson, 1995). This is particularly important for GLEs, which possess an essential anisotropic part, specifically during the event's onset (Debrunner *et al.*, 1988; Shea and Smart, 1990; Vashenyuk *et al.*, 2006b; Bütikofer *et al.*, 2009). Therefore, measurements performed by the worldwide network of NMs form the basis to assess the spectral and angular characteristics of SEPs near Earth. In addition, it was recently shown that spectral and angular characteristics of SEPs derived using NM data are model dependent (Bütikofer and Flückiger, 2013), mainly due to assumed NM yield function(s), magnetospheric model(s) (for details see Bütikofer *et al.* (2013)). In this study we use an established method for the analysis of GLEs based on NM data but applying a newly computed neutron monitor yield function (Mishev, Kocharov, and Usoskin, 2014). We study two major events, namely GLE 59 on 14 July 2000 and GLE 70 on 13 December 2006.

2 Modelling the neutron monitor response

In order to derive the SEPs characteristics, it is necessary to establish a relationship between NM count rates and the primary particle flux, considering the full complexity of particle transport in the geomagnetosphere and in the Earth atmosphere (Debrunner and Brunberg, 1968; Hatton, 1971; Smart, Shea, and Flückiger, 2000; Dorman, 2004; Desorgher *et al.*, 2009; Mishev and Usoskin, 2013). A convenient formalism for relation between NM count rate and primary particle flux is based on yield function (for details see *e.g.* Hatton, 1971; Clem and Dorman, 2000, and references therein). In this study, we use a recently computed NM yield function, which considers the finite lateral extent of cosmic ray induced atmospheric cascade and provides good agreement with experimental latitude surveys (Mishev and Usoskin, 2013; Mishev, Usoskin, and Kovaltsov, 2013).

An analysis of GLEs based on NM data consists of several consecutive steps: determination of asymptotic viewing cones of the NMs by computation of particle trajectories in a model magnetosphere; assumption of an initial guess of the inverse problem; application of an optimization procedure (inverse method) for derivation of the primary SEPs' energy spectrum, anisotropy axis direction and pitch angle distribution. A detailed description of the method is given elsewhere (Mishev, Kocharov, and Usoskin, 2014). The method is similar to that used by Shea and Smart (1982); Humble *et al.* (1991); Cramp *et al.* (1997); Bombardieri *et al.* (2006); Vashenyuk *et al.*

(2006b, 2008).

The relative count rate increase of a given NM can be expressed as:

$$\frac{\Delta N(P_{cut})}{N} = \frac{\int_{P_{cut}}^{P_{max}} J_{||sep}(P,t)Y(P)G(\alpha(P,t))dP}{\int_{P_{cut}}^{\infty} J_{GCR}(P,t)Y(P)dP}, \quad (1)$$

where $J_{||sep}$ is the rigidity spectrum of the primary solar particles in the direction of the maximal flux, $J_{GCR}(P,t)$ is the rigidity spectrum of GCR at given time t with the corresponding modulation, Y(P) is the NM yield function, $G(\alpha(P,t))$ is the pitch angle distribution of SEPs, N is the count rate due to GCR, $\Delta N(P_{cut})$ is the count rate increase due to solar particles, P_{cut} is the minimum rigidity cut-off of the station, accordingly P_{max} is the maximum rigidity of SEPs considered in the model, assumed to be 20 GV, which is sufficiently high for SEPs. The fractional increase of the count rate of a NM station represents the ratio between the NM count rates due to SEPs and GCR averaged over 2 hours before the event's onset. In our model we assume a modified power law rigidity spectrum of SEP similarly to Cramp, Humble, and Duldig (1995); Cramp *et al.* (1997); Bombardieri *et al.* (2006); Vashenyuk *et al.* (2008):

$$J_{||}(P) = J_0 P^{-(\gamma+\delta\gamma(P-1))}, \quad (2)$$

where $J_{||}$ is particle flux arriving from the Sun along the axis of symmetry whose direction is defined by geographic coordinate angles Ψ and Λ, γ is the power-law spectral exponent at rigidity P = 1 GV, $\delta\gamma$ is the rate of the spectrum steepening. The pitch angle distribution is assumed to be a Gaussian:

$$G(\alpha(P)) \sim exp(-\alpha^2/\sigma^2), \quad (3)$$

where α is the pitch angle, σ is parameter corresponding to the width of the pitch angle distribution. The pitch angle is defined as the angle between the asymptotic direction and the axis of anisotropy. Therefore according to equations (1) to (3) six parameters have to be determined: J_0, γ , $\delta\gamma$, Ψ and Λ, σ.

In our study, for the computation of rigidity cut-offs and asymptotic directions of the allowed trajectories (Cooke *et al.*, 1991) we use the combination of International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF) geomagnetic model (epoch 2010) as the internal field model (Langel, 1987) and the Tsyganenko 89 model as external field (Tsyganenko, 1989). This combination provides the most efficient computation of asymptotic directions as well as a balance between simplicity and realism (Kudela and Usoskin, 2004; Kudela, Bučik, and Bobik, 2008; Nevalainen, Usoskin, and Mishev, 2013). All computations of particle's transport in the geomagnetic field are performed with the MAGNETOCOSMICS code (Desorgher *et al.*, 2005).

For the GCR spectrum we apply a parametrisation based on the force-field model (Gleeson and Axford, 1968; Caballero-Lopez and Moraal, 2004). Full details of the application of the model are given elsewhere (Usoskin *et al.*, 2005). The solar modulation parameter is taken from Usoskin, Bazilevskaya, and K (2011). For the solution of the inverse problem we use the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm (LMA) (Levenberg, 1944; Marquardt, 1963) with the Minpack code (More, Garbow, and Hillstrom, 1980). The optimization is performed by minimization of the difference between the modelled NM responses and the measured NM responses *i.e.* optimization of the functional $\mathscr{F}$ over the vector of unknowns and m NM stations:

$$\mathscr{F} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[\left(\frac{\Delta N_i}{N_i} \right)_{mod.} - \left(\frac{\Delta N_i}{N_i} \right)_{meas.} \right]^2, \quad (4)$$

3 Results of the analysis

In total 16 GLEs were observed during the Solar Cycle 23 (Andriopoulou *et al.*, 2011a,b; Gopalswamy *et al.*, 2012). The strongest event, namely GLE 69 on 20 January 2005 was analysed elsewhere (Vashenyuk *et al.*, 2006a; Plainaki *et al.*, 2007; Bombardieri *et al.*, 2008; Perez-Peraza *et al.*, 2008; Bütikofer *et al.*, 2009; Bieber *et al.*, 2013). Here we focus on two major events: GLE 59 on 14 July 2000 also known as the Bastille day event and GLE 70 on 13 December 2006.

3.1 The Bastille day GLE 59 on 14 July 2000

The second decade of July 2000 was characterized by intense solar activity extending from 10 to 15 of July. During this period three X-class flares (including the Bastille Day flare) and two halo CMEs were produced (Dryer *et al.*, 2001). The GLE 59 event was related to the Bastille day X5.8/3B solar flare and the associated full halo CME. It started at 10:03 UT, reached peak at 10:24 UT and ended at 10:43 UT (Klein *et al.*, 2001). Accordingly, the GLE onset began between 10:30 and 10:35 UT at several stations (Figure 1). The strongest NM increases were observed at the South Pole (58.3 %) and SANAE (54.4 %) compared to pre-increase levels. In general the event was characterized by a large anisotropy in its initial phase (Bieber *et al.*, 2002; Bombardieri *et al.*, 2006; Vashenyuk *et al.*, 2006b).



Figure 1: Profile of the time variation of Apatity, Calgary, Kerguelen, South Pole, Oulu, Thule and Tixie NMs relative increase during GLE 59 on 14 July 2000.

An illustration of several computed asymptotic cones used for our analysis is shown in Figure 2. The full list of NMs used in this analysis is given below (Table 3). The computations were carried out with the MAGNETOCOSMICS code using Tsyganenko 1989 (external field model) and International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF) (internal field model) geomagnetic models, adjusted to the measured K_p index and 2000 epoch. Here we present the asymptotic directions in the rigidity range from 1 to 5 GV in order to demonstrate the range of maximal response, while in the analysis we used the 1-20 GV rigidity range.



Figure 2: Calculated NM asymptotic directions during GLE 59 on 14 July 2000 at 10:30 UT. The small oval represents the derived apparent source position during the event onset. The lines of equal pitch angles relative to the derived anisotropy axis are plotted for 30°, 60°, 150° and 120°. The asymptotic directions of polar NMs are plotted with solid lines, while mid-latitude NMs are plotted with dashed lines.

For the analysis we considered 5-min NM data retrieved from GLE database (Usoskin *et al.*, 2015). The derived rigidity spectra with the anisotropy characteristics of the high-energy SEP are presented in Figure 3. During the event's onset, SEPs had a hard rigidity spectrum and strong anisotropy as it was observed by NM stations with small pitch-angles.

The left-hand panel of Figure 3 demonstrates the obtained rigidity spectrum during various stages of the event. The corresponding pitch angle distributions are presented in the right-hand panel of Figure 3. One can see that the rigidity spectrum was gradually softening throughout the event. The steepening $\delta\gamma$ varied throughout the event. It resulted in a moderate steepening of the spectrum during the early phase and only a slight steepening during the late phase of the event. Moreover, $\delta\gamma$ vanished after 13:00 UT so that the derived rigidity spectra can be described by a pure power law. The derived anisotropy was high during the event's onset. It rapidly dropped to a low, relatively steady level so that the SEPs angular distribution considerably broadened out after the initial phase of the event. We can conclude that the event was isotropised very fast, leading

to a nearly isotropic SEP flux after 11:00 UT. The derived spectral and anisotropy characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

The quality of the modelling is demonstrated by comparison between the modelled and observed responses of NMs during the GLE 59. Here we present a comparison for several NM stations (Figure 4), but the quality of the fit is similar for the other NM stations. Since there is no dramatic change of the derived SEP characteristics after 11:00 UT (gradual softening of the rigidity spectrum and isotropic phase), in order to present detailed information throughout the whole event, the X axis (Time) in Figure 4 is not uniform.

A detailed analysis of confidence limits of the derived model parameters is rather difficult, because of strong non-linearity and complexity of the model as well as a significant correlation between the fit parameters (Dennis and Schnabel, 1996; Aster, Borchers, and Thurber, 2005). We examine the squared difference between the observed and calculated increases. We achieve less than 5 % relative difference between modelled and observed NM relative increases. Note that in the analysis we employed a natural initial guess similarly to Bombardieri *et al.* (2006), *i.e.* that the apparent source position is located along the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) line derived from the ACE satellite measurements (otherwise the initial guess is similar to that of Cramp, Humble, and Duldig (1995)). According to our analysis a small variation of the input (initial guess) does not alter the solution. In case when the initial guess is far from the local minimum (the LMA converges to the global minimum only if the initial guess is close to the final solution (Dennis and Schnabel, 1996)), the derived solution of the inverse problem possess greater residual compared to that with a natural initial guess or it is not physical.



Figure 3: Derived rigidity spectra and pitch angle distributions of SEPs during the GLE 59. The SEP flux $J_{||}$ is according to Equation (2) *i.e.* flux arriving from the Sun along the axis of symmetry. Time (UT) refers to the end of the corresponding five minute interval. The solid line in the left panel denote GCR flux.

Table 1: Derived spectral and angular characteristics for GLE 59 on 14 July 2000

Integration interval [UT]	J_0 $[m^{-2}s^{-1}sr^{-1}GV^{-1}]$	γ	$\delta\gamma$	σ^2 [rad ^{2}]	Ψ [degrees]	Λ [degrees]
10:35–10:40	273000	4.47	0.81	1.25	12.61	-6.31
10:40–10:45	312000	4.25	0.8	1.75	14.32	-8.02
10:45–10:50	340100	4.49	0.68	2. 5	15.46	-14.32
10:50–10:55	328200	4.87	0.41	4. 5	20.62	-14.89
10:55–11:00	290000	5.51	0.05	11. 5	10.88	-12.65
11:25–11:30	272000	5.52	0.05	11. 8	14.32	-16.04
11:55–12:00	230000	5.74	0.02	12. 1	6.3	-21.19
12:25–12:30	195200	5.83	0.03	12. 5	8.59	-23.49
12:55–13:00	193000	6.01	0.0	12. 6	6.28	-25.78
13:25–13:30	187100	6.15	0.0	13. 1	6.87	-29.22
13:55–14:00	182500	6.22	0.0	13. 5	6.91	-32.65
14:55–15:00	178500	6.5	0.0	14. 2	8.59	-35.52
15:55–16:00	165000	7.1	0.0	15.0	5.72	-38.39



Figure 4: Modelled and observed responses of several NM stations during the GLE 59 on 14 July 2000. The quality of the fit for other stations is of the same order.

3.2 The GLE 70 on 13 December 2006

The second event considered for analysis in this study is one of the strongest events of Solar Cycle 23. The month of December 2006 was at the declining phase of the solar cycle close to the minimum. However, on 13 December 2006, NOAA active region 10930, located at S06W26, triggered a X3.4/4 B solar flare which reached maximum at 2:40 UT. It was associated with Type II and Type IV radio bursts and a fast full-halo CME accompanied by a strong solar proton event (for details see *e.g.* Gopalswamy *et al.*, 2012; Moraal and McCracken, 2012, and references therein). The worldwide network of NMs recorded the event with maximum seen at Oulu NM $\sim$ 90 % at 5 min data) (Figure 5). It was classified as GLE 70.



Figure 5: Time variation of 10-min data in Apatity, Barenstburg, Calgary, Kerguelen, Oulu and Tixie NMs relative increase during GLE 70 on 13 December 2006.

Similarly to some other events it was characterized by a large anisotropy in the initial phase (Bütikofer *et al.*, 2009; Vashenyuk *et al.*, 2008; Plainaki *et al.*, 2009). Here for the analysis we consider 5-min NM data retrieved from GLE database (Usoskin *et al.*, 2015). An illustration of several computed asymptotic cones of NMs used for the analysis is shown in Figure 6. The full list of NMs used in this analysis is given in Table 3. Similarly to the previous case, the computations are carried out with the MAGNETOCOSMICS code using Tsyganenko 1989 (external field model) and IGRF (internal field model) geomagnetic models, adjusted to the measured K_p index and 2000 epoch. The derived rigidity spectra with the corresponding anisotropy characteristics

are shown in Figure 7. During the event's onset, SEPs had a hard spectrum, strong anisotropy of a beam like SEP flux, which have been observed by NM stations with small pitch-angles. As an example, Oulu and Barentsburg NMs showed very different responses, despite their close geographic location and rigidity cut-offs. A summary of the derived spectral and angular characteristics for GLE 70 on 13 December 2006, as well as NM integration period and apparent source position is given in Table 2.



Figure 6: Calculated NM asymptotic directions during GLE 70 on 13 December 2006 at 03:00 UT. The cross represents the direction of interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) derived from the ACE satellite measurements at 03:00 UT. The small oval represents the derived apparent source position. The lines of equal pitch angles relative to the derived anisotropy axis are plotted for 30°, 60°, 120° and 150°. The asymptotic directions of polar NMs are plotted with solid lines, while mid-latitude NMs are plotted with dashed lines.



Figure 7: Derived rigidity spectra and pitch angle distributions of SEPs during the GLE 70. The SEP flux is according to Equation (2) *i.e.* flux arriving from the Sun along the axis of symmetry. Time (UT) refers to the end of the corresponding five minute interval. The solid line in the left panel denote GCR flux.

The quality of the modelling is demonstrated in Figure 8, where the model and observed responses of several NMs are compared. The quality of the fit is similar for the other NM stations. Similarly to Figure 4, the X axis (Time) in Figure 8 is not uniform.

The analysis similar to the previous one shows an achieved maximal relative difference of about 3-6 % between modelled and observed NM relative increase. The full list of NMs used for the analysis of both events is given in Table 3.

Table 2: Derived spectral and angular characteristics for GLE 70 on 13 December 2006

Integration interval [UT]	J_0 [m^{-2} s^{-1} sr^{-1} GV^{-1}]	γ	$\delta\gamma$	σ^2 [rad ^{2}]	Ψ [degrees]	Λ [degrees
03:00–03:05	256000	3.41	0.22	0.19	-17	148
03:05–03:10	356500	3.71	0.3	0.28	-16	154
03:10–03:15	216800	4.25	0.2	0.3	-14	145
03:15–03:20	206000	4.31	0.1	0.85	-13	160
03:20–03:25	205000	4.32	0.2	1.2	-13	137
03:25–03:30	203100	4.43	0.11	1.77	-11	131
03:30–03:35	200000	4.5	0.1	1.81	-10	126
03:35–03:40	200000	4.75	0.08	1.85	-10	128
03:40–03:45	204900	5.2	0.1	2.01	-7	122
03:45–03:50	202500	5.46	0.12	2.3	-5	120
03:50–03:55	201800	5.48	0.1	3.5	-5	117
03:55–04:00	196500	5.52	0.05	5.19	-1	120
04:10–04:15	185203	5.62	0.04	5.8	0.1	118
04:25–04:30	150000	5.69	0.001	5.68	5	115
04:40–04:45	135920	5.65	0	6.47	10	111
04:55–05:00	129800	5.63	0	5.87	13	110
05:10–05:15	145325	6.15	0	6.63	15	108
05:25–05:30	135320	6.12	0	6.68	14	107
05:40–05:45	125050	6.19	0	7.1	14	105
05:55–06:00	147150	6.6	0	9.2	15	95



Figure 8: Modelled and observed responses of six NM stations during the GLE70 on 13 December 2006. The quality of the modelled responses for other stations is of the same order.

4 Discussion and Conclusions

The two major events GLE 59 and GLE 70 considered for study in this work occurred at different solar activity conditions. They were also quite different in increase profiles. The GLE 70 depicted a very sharp impulsive-type increase, while GLE 59 had a wider time profile typical for gradual events. This feature may be explained by the position of the flare at the solar disk (Duldig *et al.*, 1995; Cramp *et al.*, 1997).

The events were characterized by relatively strong anisotropy during the initial phase, which decreased rapidly over the following 30 minutes for GLE 59, accordingly 50-60 minutes for GLE 70. The strong anisotropy of SEPs during both event onsets indicates focused transport

Table 3: Neutron monitors with corresponding geomagnetic rigidity cut-offs used in the analysis. Cross (null) in the last columns denote NMs used (not used) for the analysis of the event.

Station	latitude [deg]	Longitude [deg]	P_c [GV]	Altitude [m]	GLE 59	GLE 70
Alma Ata (AA)	43.25	76.92	6.67	3340	x	x
Apatity (Apty)	67.55	33.33	0.48	177	x	x
Barentsburg (BRBG)	78.03	14.13	0	70	0	x
Calgary (Cal)	51.08	245.87	1.04	1128	x	x
Cape Schmidt (CS)	68.92	180.53	0.41	0	0	x
Forth Smith (FS)	60.02	248.07	0.25	0	0	x
Goose Bay (GB)	23.27	299.60	0.52	46	x	0
Hermanus (HRMS)	-34.42	19.22	4.90	26	x	x
Hobart (HBRT)	-42.92	147.24	1.88	0	x	0
Inuvik (INVK)	68.35	226.28	0.16	21	x	x
Irkutsk (IRK)	52.58	104.02	3.23	435	x	x
Jungfraujoch (JJ)	46.55	7.98	4.46	3476	x	x
Kerguelen (Ker)	-49.35	70.25	1.01	33	x	x
Kiel	54.33	10.13	2.22	54	x	x
Kingston (KGSTN)	-42.99	147.29	1.75	65	x	x
Lomnicky Štit (LS)	49.2	20.22	3.72	2634	x	x
Magadan (MAG)	60.12	151.02	1.84	220	x	x
Mawson (MWSN)	-67.60	62.88	0.22	0	x	x
McMurdo (MCMD)	-77.85	166.72	0	48	x	x
Moscow (MOS)	55.47	37.32	2.13	200	x	x
Nain	56.55	298.32	0.28	0	0	x
Newark (NWRK)	39.70	284.30	1.97	50	x	0
Norilsk	69.26	88.05	0.52	0	0	x
Oulu	65.05	25.47	0.69	15	x	x
Peawanuck (PWNC)	54.98	274.56	0.16	52	0	x
Rome	41.86	12.47	6.19	60	0	x
Sanae	-71.67	357.15	0.56	856	x	0
South Pole (SP)	-90.00	0.0	0	2820	x	0
Terre Adelie (TA)	-66.67	140.02	0	45	x	x
Thule	76.60	291.2	0.1	260	x	0
Tixie (TB)	71.60	128.90	0.53	0	x	x
Yakutsk (YAK)	62.03	129.73	1.64	105	x	x

conditions in the interplanetary medium. In addition, we studied the likelihood of bidirectional arrival of SEPs, namely by modelling the NM response assuming a two flux event and a complicated shape of pitch angle distribution (see Mishev, Kocharov, and Usoskin (2014)) similarly to Vashenyuk *et al.* (2006a) who found a clear signature of bidirectional flux for the GLE 69 on 20 January 2005. In this case analysis we found no evidence of particles arriving from other but sunward directions. The rapid increase of NM response of stations in the antisunward direction such as Tixie for GLE 59 is most-likely due to scattering processes (Bieber *et al.*, 2002).

The particle fluences (rigidity, time and angle integrated particle flux) of both events are compared (Figure 9a). The particle fluence during the Bastille day event is greater than during the GLE 70 event. This is consistent with recent findings based on both satellite-borne and NMs data analysis (Tylka and Dietrich, 2009). The fluence during Bastille day event is compared with recent estimations (Figure 9b) (Tylka and Dietrich, 2009). The observed discrepancy is most likely due to different reconstruction methods and model assumptions. In (Tylka and Dietrich, 2009) a simplified analysis of NM data is used and different spectral shape, namely band function is employed.

A reasonable agreement is achieved for GLE 70 event (Figure 9c). In addition, on Figure 9c is compared the particle fluence using the reconstructions by Vashenyuk *et al.* (2008), where a discrepancy in particle fluence is observed, but not in derived rigidity spectra.



Figure 9: a) Computed fluence of SEPs during GLE 59 on 14 July 2000 and GLE 70 on 13 December 2006 as denoted in the legend in panel A. The SEPs fluence is time- and angle- integrated throughout the event(s); b) Computed fluence of SEPs during GLE 59 on 14 July 2000 compared with previous estimations (Tylka and Dietrich, 2009) as denoted in the legend in panel C; c) Computed fluence of SEPs during GLE 70 on 13 December 2006 compared with previous estimations (Vashenyuk *et al.*, 2008; Tylka and Dietrich, 2009) as denoted in the legend in panel C;

In the work presented here, we performed a detailed modelling of spectral and angular characteristics of high energy SEPs in the vicinity of Earth during the the Bastille Day 2000 (GLE 59) event and GLE 70 on 13 December 2006.

The CR particles are the main source of ionization in the troposphere and stratosphere resulting form the induced nuclear-electromagnetic-muon cascade and secondary particle energy loss (Usoskin and Kovaltsov, 2006; Bazilevskaya *et al.*, 2008; Velinov *et al.*, 2013; Mironova *et al.*, 2015). The derived characteristics during the GLEs are useful for further space weather/space climate applications, namely the estimation of ion production rate and ionization effect in the atmosphere similarly to (see *e.g.* Bazilevskaya *et al.*, 2008; Usoskin *et al.*, 2011; Žigman, Kudela, and Grubor, 2014; Mishev and Velinov, 2015a,b,c).

References

Andriopoulou, M., Mavromichalaki, H., Plainaki, C., Belov, A., Eroshenko, E.: 2011a, Intense ground-level enhancements of solar cosmic rays during the last solar cycles. *Solar Physics* **269**(1), 155.

Andriopoulou, M., Mavromichalaki, H., Preka-Papadema, P., Plainaki, C., Belov, A., Eroshenko, E.: 2011b, Solar activity and the associated ground level enhancements of solar cosmic rays during solar cycle 23. *Astrophysics and Space Sciences Transactions* **7**(4), 439.

Aschwanden, M.: 2012, GeV particle acceleration in solar flares and ground level enhancement (GLE) events. *Space Science Reviews* **171**(1-4), 3.

Aster, R.C., Borchers, B., Thurber, C.H.: 2005, *Parameter estimation and inverse problems*, Elsevier, New York. ISBN 0-12-065604-3.

Bazilevskaya, G.A., Usoskin, I.G., Flückiger, E.O., Harrison, R.G., Desorgher, L., Bütikofer, B., Krainev, M.B., Makhmutov, V.S., Stozhkov, Y.I., Svirzhevskaya, A.K., Svirzhevsky, N.S., Kovaltsov, G.A.: 2008, Cosmic ray induced ion production in the atmosphere. *Space Science Reviews* **137**, 149.

Bieber, J.W., Evenson, P.A.: 1995, Spaceship Earth - an optimized network of neutron monitors. In: *Proc. of 24th ICRC Rome, Italy, 28 Auygust - 8 September 1995* **4**, 1316.

Bieber, J.W., Droge, W., Evenson, P.A., Pyle, K.R., Ruffolo, D., Pinsook, U., Tooprakai, P., Rujiwarodom, M., Khumlumlert, T., Krucker, S.: 2002, Energetic particle observations during the 2000 July 14 solar event. *Astrophysical Journal* **567**(1), 622.

Bieber, J.W., Clem, J., Evenson, P., Pyle, R., Siz, A., Ruffolo, D.: 2013, Giant ground level enhancement of relativistic solar protons on 2005 January 20. i. spaceship Earth observations. *Astrophysical Journal* **771**(2).

Bombardieri, D.J., Duldig, M.L., Michael, K.J., Humble, J.E.: 2006, Relativistic proton production during the 2000 July 14 solar event: The case for multiple source mechanisms. *Astrophysical Journal* **644**(1), 565.

Bombardieri, D.J., Duldig, M.L., Humble, J.E., Michael, K.J.: 2008, An improved model for relativistic solar proton acceleration applied to the 2005 January 20 and earlier events. *Astrophysical Journal* **682**(2), 1315.

Bütikofer, R., Flückiger, E.O.: 2013, Differences in published characteristics of GLE 60 and their consequences on computed radiation dose rates along selected flight paths. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **409**(1), 012166.

Bütikofer, R., Flückiger, E.O., Desorgher, L., Moser, M.R., Pirard, B.: 2009, The solar cosmic ray ground-level enhancements on 20 January 2005 and 13 December 2006. *Advances in Space Research* **43**(4), 499.

Bütikofer, R., Flückiger, E.O., Balabin, Y., Belov, A.: 2013, The reliability of GLE analysis based on neutron monitor data - a critical review. In: *Proc. of 33th ICRC Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 2 -9 July 2013*, 0863.

Caballero-Lopez, R.A., Moraal, H.: 2004, Limitations of the force field equation to describe cosmic ray modulation. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **109**, A01101.

Clem, J., Dorman, L.: 2000, Neutron monitor response functions. *Space Science Reviews* **93**, 335.

Cliver, E.W., Kahler, S.W., Reames, D.V.: 2004, Coronal shocks and solar energetic proton events. *Astrophysical Journal* **605**, 902.

Cooke, D.J., Humble, J.E., Shea, M.A., Smart, D.F., Lund, N., Rasmussen, I.L., Byrnak, B., Goret, P., Petrou, N.: 1991, On cosmic-ray cutoff terminology. *Il Nuovo Cimento C* **14**(3), 213.

Cramp, J.L., Humble, J.E., Duldig, M.L.: 1995, The cosmic ray ground-level enhancement of 24 October 1989. In: *Proceedings Astronomical Society of Australia* **11**, 28.

Cramp, J.L., Duldig, M.L., Flückiger, E.O., Humble, J.E., Shea, M.A., Smart, D.F.: 1997, The October 22, 1989,solar cosmic enhancement: ray an analysis the anisotropy spectral characteristics. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **102**(A11), 24 237.

Debrunner, H., Brunberg, E.: 1968, Monte Carlo calculation of nucleonic cascade in the atmosphere. *Canadian Journal of Physics* **46**, 1069.

Debrunner, H., Flückiger, E.O., Gradel, H., Lockwood, J.A., McGuire, R.E.: 1988, Observations related to the acceleration, injection, and interplanetary propagation of energetic protons during the solar cosmic ray event on February 16, 1984. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **93**(A7), 7206.

Dennis, J.E., Schnabel, R.B.: 1996, *Numerical methods for unconstrained optimization and nonlinear equations*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs. ISBN 13-978-0-898713-64-0.

Desorgher, L., Flückiger, E.O., Gurtner, M., Moser, M.R., Bütikofer, R.: 2005, A Geant 4 code for computing the interaction of cosmic rays with the earth's atmosphere. *Internationl Journal of Modern Physics A* **20**(A11), 6802.

Desorgher, L., Kudela, K., Flückiger, E.O., Bütikofer, R., Storini, M., Kalegaev, V.: 2009, Comparison of earth's magnetospheric magnetic field models in the context of cosmic ray physics. *Acta Geophysica* **57**(1), 75.

Dorman, L.: 2004, *Cosmic rays in the Earth's atmosphere and underground*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht. ISBN 1-4020-2071-6.

Dorman, L.: 2006, *Cosmic ray interactions, propagation, and acceleration in space plasmas*, Astrophysics and Space Science Library 339, Springer, Dordrecht. ISBN 13-978-1-4020-5100-5.

Dryer, M., Fry, C.D., Sun, W., Deehr, C., Smith, Z., Akasofu, S.-I., Andrews, M.D.: 2001, Prediction in real time of the 2000 July 14 heliospheric shock wave and its companions during the 'bastille' epoch. *Solar Physics* **204**(1-2), 267.

Duldig, M.L., Cramp, J.L., Humble, J.E., Smart, D.F., Shea, M.A., Bieber, J.W., Evenson, P., Fenton, K.B., Fenton, A.G., Bendoricchio, M.B.M.: 1995, The ground level enhancements of 1989 September and October 22. In: *Proceedings Astronomical Society of Australia* **10**, 211.

Gleeson, L.J., Axford, W.I.: 1968, Solar modulation of galactic cosmic rays. *Astrophysical Journal* **154**, 1011.

Gopalswamy, N., Xie, H., Yashiro, S., Akiyama, S., Mkel, P., Usoskin, I.G.: 2012, Properties of ground level enhancement events and the associated solar eruptions during solar cycle 23. *Space Science Reviews* **171**(1-4), 23.

Hatton, C.: 1971, The neutron monitor. In: *Progress in Elementary Particle and Cosmic-ray Physics X*, North Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam. Chap. 1.

Humble, J.E., Duldig, M.L., Smart, D.F., Shea, M.A.: 1991, Detection of 0.515 GeV solar protons on 29 September 1989 at australian stations. *Geophysical Research Letters* **18**(4), 737.

Kallenrode, M.-B., Cliver, E.W., Wibberenz, G.: 1992, Composition and azimuthal spread of solar energetic particles from impulsive and gradual flares. *Astrophysical Journal* **391**(1), 370.

Klein, K.-L., Trottet, G., Lantos, P., Delaboudinire, J.-P.: 2001, Coronal electron acceleration and relativistic proton production during the 14 July 2000 flare and CME. *Astronomy and Astrophysics* **373**(3), 1073.

Kudela, K., Usoskin, I.: 2004, On magnetospheric transmissivity of cosmic rays. *Czechoslovak Journal of Physics* **54**(2), 239.

Kudela, K., Bučik, R., Bobik, P.: 2008, On transmissivity of low energy cosmic rays in disturbed magnetosphere. *Advances in Space Research* **42**(7), 1300.

Langel, R.A.: 1987, Main field in geomagnetism. In: *Geomagnetism*, J.A. Jacobs Academic Press, London, 249. Chap. 1.

Levenberg, K.: 1944, A method for the solution of certain non-linear problems in least squares. *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics* **2**, 164.

Lockwood, J.A., Debrunner, H., Flükiger, E.O.: 1990, Indications for diffusive coronal shock acceleration of protons in selected solar cosmic ray events. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics* **95**(A4), 4187.

Marquardt, D.: 1963, An algorithm for least-squares estimation of nonlinear parameters. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics* **11**(2), 431.

Mavromichalaki, H., Papaioannou, A., Plainaki, C., Sarlanis, C., Souvatzoglou, G., Gerontidou, M., Papailiou, M., Eroshenko, E., Belov, A., Yanke, V., Flückiger, E.O., Bütikofer, R., Parisi, M., Storini, M., Klein, K.-L., Fuller, N., Steigies, C.T., Rother, O.M., Heber, B., Wimmer-Schweingruber, R.F., Kudela, K., Strharsky, I., Langer, R., Usoskin, I., Ibragimov, A., Chilingaryan, A., Hovsepyan, G., Reymers, A., Yeghikyan, A., Kryakunova, O., Dryn, E., Nikolayevskiy, N., Dorman, L., Pustil'Nik, L.: 2011, Applications and usage of the real-time neutron monitor database. *Advances of Space Research* **47**, 2210.

Mironova, I., Aplin, K., Arnold, F., Bazilevskaya, G., Harrison, R., Krivolutsky, A., Nicoll, K., Rozanov, E., Turunen, E., Usoskin, I.: 2015, Energetic particle influence on the Earths atmosphere, *Space Science Reviews* **194**(1), 1.

Mishev, A., Usoskin, I.: 2013, Computations of cosmic ray propagation in the Earth's atmosphere, towards a GLE analysis. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **409**, 012152.

Mishev, A.L., Velinov, P.I.Y.: 2015a, Time evolution of ionization effect due to cosmic rays in terrestrial atmosphere during GLE 70. *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics* **129**, 78.

Mishev, A.L., Velinov, P.I.Y.: 2015b, Ionization rate profiles due to solar and galactic cosmic rays during GLE 59 on Bastille day 14 July 2000. *Comptes rendus de l'Académie bulgare des Sciences* **68**(3), 359.

Mishev, A.L., Velinov, P.I.Y.: 2015c, Determination of Medium Time Scale Ionization Effects at Various Altitudes in the Stratosphere and Troposphere During Ground Level Enhancement Due to Solar Cosmic Rays on 13.12.2006. *Comptes rendus de l'Académie bulgare des Sciences* **68**(11), 1425.

Mishev, A.L., Kocharov, L.G., Usoskin, I.G.: 2014, Analysis of the ground level enhancement on 17 May 2012 using data from the global neutron monitor network. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **119**, 670.

Mishev, A., Usoskin, I., Kovaltsov, G.: 2013, Neutron monitor yield function: New improved computations. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **118**, 2783.

Moraal, H., McCracken, K.G.: 2012, The time structure of ground level enhancements in solar cycle 23. *Space Science Reviews* **171**(1-4), 85.

More, G., Garbow, B.S., Hillstrom, K.E.: 1980, User guide for Minpack-1. Report ANL 80-74, Argonne National Laboratory, Downers Grove Township, Ill., USA.

Nevalainen, J., Usoskin, I., Mishev, A.: 2013, Eccentric dipole approximation of the geomagnetic field: Application to cosmic ray computations. *Advances in Space Research* **52**(1), 22.

Perez-Peraza, J.A., Vashenyuk, E.V., Gallegos-Cruz, A., Balabin, Y.V., Miroshnichenko, L.I.: 2008, Relativistic proton production at the sun in the 20 January 2005 solar event. *Advances in Space Research* **41**(6), 947.

Plainaki, C., Belov, A., Eroshenko, E., Mavromichalaki, H., Yanke, V.: 2007, Modeling ground level enhancements: Event of 20 January 2005. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics* **112**(4).

Plainaki, C., Mavromichalaki, H., Belov, A., Eroshenko, E., Yanke, V.: 2009, Modeling the solar cosmic ray event of 13 December 2006 using ground level neutron monitor data. *Advances in Space Research* **43**(4), 474.

Reames, D.V.: 1999, Particle acceleration at the sun and in the heliosphere. *Space Science Reviews* **90**(3-4), 413.

Reames, D.V.: 2009a, Solar energetic-particle release times in historic ground-level events. *Astrophysical Journal* **706**(1), 844.

Reames, D.V.: 2009b, Solar release times of energetic particles in ground-level events. *Astrophysical Journal* **693**(1), 812.

Shea, M.A., Smart, D.F.: 1982, Possible evidence for a rigidity-dependent release of relativistic protons from the solar corona. *Space Science Reviews* **32**, 251.

Shea, M.A., Smart, D.F.: 1990, A summary of major solar proton events. *Solar Physics* **127**, 297.

Simpson, J., Fonger, W., Treiman, S.: 1953, Cosmic radiation intensity-time variation and their origin. i. neutron intensity variation method and meteorological factors. *Physical Review* **90**, 934.

Smart, D.F., Shea, M.A., Flückiger, E.O.: 2000, Magnetospheric models and trajectory computations. *Space Science Reviews* **93**(1), 305.

Tsyganenko, N.A.: 1989, A magnetospheric magnetic field model with a warped tail current sheet. *Planetary and Space Science* **37**(1), 5.

Tylka, A., Dietrich, W.: 2009, A new and comprehensive analysis of proton spectra in ground-level encahnced (GLE) solar particle. In: *Proc. of 31th ICRC Lodz, Poland, 7 -15 July 2009*.

Usoskin, I.G., Bazilevskaya, G.A., Kovaltsov, G.A.: 2011, Solar modulation parameter for cosmic rays since 1936 reconstructed from ground-based neutron monitors and ionization chambers. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **116**, A02104.

Usoskin, I.G., Kovaltsov, G.A., Mironova, I.A., Tylka, A.J., Dietrich, W.F.: 2011, Ionization effect of solar particle GLE events in low and middle atmosphere. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* **11**, 1979.

Usoskin, I., Alanko-Huotari, K., Kovaltsov, G., Mursula, K.: 2005, Heliospheric modulation of cosmic rays: Monthly reconstruction for 1951-2004,. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **110**(A12108).

Usoskin, I., Kovaltsov, G.: 2006, Cosmic ray induced ionization in the atmosphere: Full modeling and practical applications,. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **111**(D21206).

Usoskin, I.G., Ibragimov, A., Shea, M.A., Smart, D.F.: 2015, Database of ground level enhancements (GLE) of high energy solar proton events. In: *Proc. of 34th ICRC Hague, Netherlands, 30 July -6 August 2015* **PoS**, paper 54.

Vashenyuk, E.V., Balabin, Y.V., Gvozdevskii, B.B., Karpov, S.N.: 2006a, Relativistic solar protons in the event of January 20, 2005: Model studies. *Geomagnetism and Aeronomy* **46**(4), 424.

Vashenyuk, E.V., Balabin, Y.V., Perez-Peraza, J., Gallegos-Cruz, A., Miroshnichenko, L.I.: 2006b, Some features of the sources of relativistic particles at the sun in the solar cycles 21-23. *Advances Space Research* **38**(3), 411.

Vashenyuk, E.V., Balabin, Y.V., Gvozdevsky, B.B., Schur, L.I.: 2008, Characteristics of relativistic solar cosmic rays during the event of December 13, 2006. *Geomagnetism and Aeronomy* **48**(2), 149.

Velinov, P., Asenovski, S., Kudela, K., Lastovička, J., Mateev, L., Mishev, A., Tonev, P.: 2013, Impact of cosmic rays and solar energetic particles on the earth's ionosphere and atmosphere. *Journal of Space Weather and Space Climate*. *Journal of Space Weather and Space Climate* **3**(A14).

Žigman, V., Kudela, K., Grubor, D.: 2014, Response of the earth's lower ionosphere to the ground level enhancement event of December 13, 2006. *Advances in Space Research* **53**(5), 763.